



Instrumental Tip-of-The-Iceberg Effects on the Prompt Emission of *Swift*/BAT GRBs

Michael Moss (GWU, CRESSTII, NASA/GSFC)

Collaborators: Amy Lien (NASA/GSFC, CRESSTII, UMBC), Sylvain Guiriec (NASA/GSFC, CRESSTII, GWU) Brad S. Cenko (NASA/GSFC, UMD) Takanori Sakamoto (AGU) [mikejmoss3@gmail.com] Arxiv: https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.13392











https://aasnova.org/





Black hole engine

NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center



<u> https://aasnova.org/</u>





NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center

<u>ittps://www.nasa.gov</u>



<u> https://aasnova.org/</u>





NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center

nttps://www.nasa.gov/



<u> https://aasnova.org/</u>





NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center

nttps://www.nasa.gov/





NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center



NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center



Instrument Considerations



Kouveliotou et al., 1993

Instrument Considerations



Kouveliotou et al., 1993



Lien A. et al., 2016

Instrument Considerations





Instrumental Effects on GRB Light Curves

True Light Curve



Instrumental Effects on GRB Light Curves



True Light Curve Instrument Sensitivity Instrument Background T-true T-true **T-true** Signal-To-Noise Background Threshold Level

Instrumental Effects on GRB Light Curves

True Light Curve Instrument Sensitivity Instrument Background T-true T-true **T-obs T-true** Signal-To-Noise Background Threshold Level

Instrumental Effects on GRB Light Curves

The Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory Burst Alert Telescope





<u> https://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/swift/spacecraft/</u> https://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/about_swift/bat_desc.html

Swift/BAT Instrumental Parameters

Relevant Parameters:

- Number of Active Detectors (NDETS)
- Incident angle (PCODE)
- Background
- Not Relevant:
 - Energy band



Lien A. et al., 2014



Swift/BAT Instrumental Parameters

Relevant Parameters:

- Number of Active Detectors (NDETS)
- Incident angle (PCODE)
- Background Not Relevant:
 - Energy band



Lien A. et al., 2014

PCODE and Incident Angle



Incident Angle = 0 deg PCODE = 1



PCODE and Incident Angle



Incident Angle = 29 deg PCODE = 0.5



PCODE and Incident Angle





PCODE vs BAT Field of View

Not exactly one-to-one with incident angle



PCODE vs BAT Field of View

Not exactly one-to-one with incident angle



Swift/BAT Instrumental Parameters

Relevant Parameters:

- Number of Active Detectors (NDETS)
- Incident angle (PCODE)
- Background

Not Relevant:

- Energy band





Swift/BAT Instrumental Parameters

Relevant Parameters:

- Number of Active Detectors (NDETS)
- Incident angle (PCODE)
- Background
- Not Relevant:
 - Energy band



Simulation Method Summary

Template Light Curve



Simulate Source Light Curve

Select T100 signal Fold with BAT response matrix Add flat background

Simulation Method Summary



Simulation Method Summary



Light Curve Sample for Simulations

FRED Light Curves

$$I(t) = A\lambda e^{[-\tau_1/(t-t_{\rm s}) - (t-t_{\rm s})/\tau_2]}$$

Hakkila and Preece, 2014

Observed GRB Light Curves:

GRB050219A GRB051111 GRB071010B GRB090510 GRB110422A GRB120119A GRB150314A GRB160314A













GRB071010B: Early, Dim Emission



GRB150314A: LGRB with Extended Emission



GRB150314A: LGRB with Extended Emission



GRB150314A: LGRB with Extended Emission



GRB090510: SGRB with trailing Emission



GRB160314A: Short or Long?



GRB160314A: Short or Long?



GRB Name	f(measurable)	$f(\text{consistent}, 3\sigma)$	$f(\text{consistent}, 1\sigma)$	$\mathrm{T}_{90,\mathrm{true}}$	Ave. T _{90,sim}	90% CI (sec)
				(sec)	(sec)	68% CI (sec)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
FRED1	0.914	0.826	0.271	14.14	11.62	[4.008, 15.108]
$(1.44 \times 10^{-5} \text{ erg/cm}^2)$						[6.008, 14.108]
FRED2	0.787	0.828	0.329	14.13	10.86	[5.008, 14.108]
$(6.07 \times 10^{-6} \text{ erg/cm}^2)$						[6.008, 14.108]
FRED3	0.709	0.618	0.259	14.17	10.22	[4.008, 15.108]
$(3.64 \times 10^{-6} \text{ erg/cm}^2)$						[5.008, 14.108]
FRED4	0.571	0.468	0.224	14.14	8.64	[4.008, 14.108]
$(1.54 \times 10^{-6} \text{ erg/cm}^2)$						[5.008, 12.108]
GRB160314A	0.289	0.440	0.346	8.64	6.95	[0.942, 9.442]
						[2.042, 9.042]
GRB150314A	0.990	0.120	0.069	16.0	11.14	[4.003, 19.103]
						[6.003, 15.103]
GRB120119A	0.911	0.182	0.074	83.0	47.41	[10.014, 107.314]
						[11.014, 89.214]
GRB110422A	0.999	1.000	0.880	25.0	24.35	[17.033, 27.133]
						[24.033, 26.133]
GRB071010B	0.799	0.516	0.472	36.0	20.988	[4.007, 39.208]
 An and a second s						[5.008, 38.208]
GRB051111	0.704	0.472	0.383	65.0	41.491	[7.017, 77.317]
						[8.017, 75.317]
GRB050219A	0.811	1.000	0.767	24.0	21.787	[13.013, 25.113]
						[19.013, 24.113]
GRB150314A	0.989	1.000	0.260	11.0	11.149	[4.004, 12.104]
(no dim-tail)						[11.004, 12.104]
GRB090510	0.652	0.432	0.402	5.69	2.70	[0.064, 6.064]
						[0.164, 5.864]

GRB Name	$f(\text{consistent}, 3\sigma)$	$f(\text{consistent}, 1\sigma)$	T90,true	
			(sec)	
(1)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
FRED1	0.826	0.271	14.14	
$(1.44 \times 10^{-5} \ \rm erg/cm^2)$				
FRED2 $(2.07 - 10^{-6})$ (-2)	0.828	0.329	14.13	
$(6.07 \times 10^{-5} \text{ erg/cm}^{-})$ FRFD3	0.618	0.259	1/17	
$(3.64 \times 10^{-6} \text{ erg/cm}^2)$	0.010	0.200	1 1111	
FRED4	0.468	0.224	14.14	
$(1.54 \times 10^{-6} \text{ erg/cm}^2)$				
GRB160314A	0.440	0.346	8.64	
CRB150314A	0.120	0.069	16.0	
GILDISOSIAN	0.120	0.005	10.0	
GRB120119A	0.182	0.074	83.0	
GRB110422A	1.000	0.880	25.0	
CB B071010B	0.516	0.472	36.0	
GILDONIOIOD	0.010	0.472	50.0	
GRB051111	0.472	0.383	65.0	
GRB050219A	1.000	0.767	24.0	
CDD150214A	1.000	0.260	11.0	
(no dim-tail)	1.000	0.200	11.0	
GRB090510	0.432	0.402	5.69	



Littlejohns et al., 2013



00

Littlejohns et al., 2013







Kocevski and Petrosian, 2013

Littlejohns et al., 2013









Distance Considerations - Results

This is preliminary, to be further investigated at a later time.



Conclusions

- 1. Swift/BAT GRB duration measurements are highly impacted by observing conditions
- 2. As instrument sensitivity decreases
 - Average T90 becomes shorter and
 - T90 uncertainty becomes larger
- 3. The PCODE has the strongest influence
- 4. Strong dependence on light curve
- 5. Most of our sample, consistent with intrinsic durations in only ~20% 40% of simulations.
- 6. Light curves with a intrinsic T90 > 2 sec may be observed with T90 < 2 sec

Instrumental Considerations - SVOM/ECLAIRs



Dagoneau, Schanne, Atteia, Götz, and Cordier (2020)

See also B. Arcier, et al. 2021 for SVOM/ECLAIRs detection capability of short high-energy transients

Next Steps

- Include complex light curves
- Cosmological distance effects
- Investigate bias in the observed luminosity and T90 distributions
- Apply to other instruments





Thank you!

Backup Slides



Bayesian Blocks

Few hundred sliding Bayesian Blocks combinations



Signal-to-Noise Ratio



FFT Loss Factor

FFT causes additional loss of signal

We calculated the loss for 100 GRBs and Fit a line as a function of PCODE.



Light Curve Sample

GRB Name	z	T_{90}	Fluence	lpha	PCODE	$ heta_{ m inc}$	SNR	Description
		(sec)	$(\rm erg/cm^2))$					
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
GRB160314A	1.726	8.73	3.75×10^{-07}	-1.53	0.75	19.69°	14.18	Short pulse
GRB150314A	1.76	14.8	5.13×10^{-05}	-1.08	0.344	35.1°	256	FRED-like with dim tail
GRB120119A	1.73	68.0	3.17×10^{-05}	-1.38	1.02	5.13°	45.46	Symmetric-like
GRB110422A	1.77	25.8	5.56×10^{-05}	-0.831	0.227	44.7°	27.95	Symmetric-like
GRB090510	0.903	5.664	1.46×10^{-06}	-1.06	0.162	46.07°	145.49	Short-hard spike
								with soft tail
GRB071010B	0.947	36.124	6.21×10^{-06}	-1.97	0.8438	29.04°	52.96	FRED-like with dim
								pre-trigger emission
GRB051111	1.55	64.0	7.94×10^{-06}	-1.32	0.594	27.2°	37.09	Broad FRED-like
GRB050219A	0.211	23.8	4.53×10^{-06}	-0.124	0.232	43.1°	14.69	Symmetric-like

GRB Light Curves FRED-like





Time (sec)

GRB Light Curves Symmetric







1.2







